| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|--|
| | 09/980,068 | GIBBON ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Trang U. Tran | 2622 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | |
| (1) <u>Trang U. Tran</u> . | (3) | · |
| (2) Michael J. Turton. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>5 February 2007</u> | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicat Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: | ant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| 1-11, 12-15, 19 and 32-36 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: None | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENEI | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS | S DISCUSSED: |
| In claim 12, line 12, the phrase "the first polarizing beam splitter" the withdrawn claims 1-11, 13-15, 19 and 32-36 have been canc | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summare | e examiner will provide a writtone ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| South Carlot Annual | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant | /Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – if appropriate) |